

Phil 150: Section 1 Handout

John Wilcox... of New Zealand¹

Admin:

- Email: wilcoxje@stanford.edu
- Anonymous survey: <https://forms.gle/hAy1Tu6yAX9t2K6z5>

Utility of logic:

1. Conceptual clarity
2. Argumentative rigor
3. Everyday benefits:
 - o Clearer reasoning
 - o Avoiding pointless arguments
 - o Etc...

General tips for succeeding in logic:

1. Fight the initial fear
2. Learn definitions **well**:
 - a. Memorize definitions *verbatim*-ish
 - b. Have facility with examples
3. Break things down **LOTS**:
 - a. Don't be daunted by first appearances
 - b. Break things down using definitions
4. Utilize various proof strategies:
 - a. Talk about some "arbitrary" member or set
 - i. To prove relationships between sets (e.g. subthood, identity, etc.), talk about the features of some arbitrary set or some arbitrary member of the set
 - b. Reason by cases
 - i. Break things down into cases (e.g. "either this thing is true or that thing is true") and show that you can reach the same conclusion in all cases
 - c. Proving equivalences
 - i. For sets: prove one is a subset of another, and vice versa
 - d. Conditional proofs
 - i. To prove something q follows from another thing p (i.e. a conditional $p \rightarrow q$), assume p and show that q follows
 - e. Contraposition
 - i. To prove a conditional of the form $p \rightarrow q$, prove $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ —that is, to prove that "if p is the case, then q is the case", show that "if q is not the case, then p is not the case"
 - f. Reductio ad absurdum
 - i. To prove p for any p , assume $\neg p$ and show that that results in a contradiction
5. Practice! Practice! Practice!

¹ Footnote: New Zealand is not Australia

Some terms and definitions you need to know:

- Basic building blocks:
 - o Elements $a, b, c \dots$
 - o Sets $\{a, b, \dots, \{a, b\}\}$
 - o $x \in A$ = element x is in set A
 - o $x \notin A$ = element x is *not* in set A
 - o $x \triangleq y$ means that x is defined to be y
- Definitions of a set
 - o $A \triangleq \{x \mid \text{so} - \text{and} - \text{so}\}$ means that A is *defined* to be the set of all things x such that x meets condition “so-and-so”
- Subsethood:
 - o $A \subseteq B$ means that for every element $a \in A$, it is also the case that $a \in B$
- Set identity:
 - o $A = B \triangleq (A \subseteq B \text{ and } B \subseteq A)$
- Union:
 - o $A \cup B \triangleq \{x \mid x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$
- Intersection:
 - o $A \cap B \triangleq \{x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$
- Complement:
 - o $A - B \triangleq \{x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$
- Power set:
 - o $\rho(A) \triangleq \{B \mid B \subseteq A\}$
- Ordered pair:
 - o $\langle a, b \rangle \triangleq$ an object which has a as its first element and b as its second (**Order matters!**)
- Cartesian product:
 - o $A \times B \triangleq \{\langle a, b \rangle \mid a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$
- Binary relation R on A :
 - o Some subset ordered pairs $R \subseteq A \times A$
- Properties of relations:
 - o R is reflexive if:
 - Raa for all $a \in A$
 - o R is symmetric if:
 - Whenever Rab , then Rba is also true for any $a, b \in A$
 - o R transitive if:
 - Whenever Rab and Rbc , then Rac for any $a, b, c \in A$
 - o R Euclidean if:
 - Whenever Rab and Rac , then Rbc for any $a, b, c \in A$
- A relation $R \subseteq A \times B$ is functional if:
 - o For every $a \in A$, there is at most one $b \in B$ such that Rab
 - o A is called the **domain** of the function
 - o B is called the **range** of the function
 - o A and B may or may not be distinct
- A functional relation (i.e. a function) is injective if:
 - o Every distinct element of A is mapped to some distinct element of B
- A functional relation (i.e. a function) is surjective if:
 - o Everything in the *range* (the set that is mapped to—in this case, B) is mapped to by something in the domain

Practice exercises (**solutions are below**):

1. $A - B \subseteq (A \cup C) - B$
2. $A \cup B \subseteq (A \cup C) \cup (B \cup C)$
3. $A \times B \subseteq A \times (B \cup C)$
4. Relations:
 - a. Suppose we have three elements in a set $A = \{a, b, c\}$, and a relation R defined on this set
 - b. Suppose R is symmetric and that $\langle a, b \rangle, \langle b, c \rangle, \langle c, a \rangle \in R$ —that is, Rab, Rbc, Rca
 - c. Prove that R defined on this set is transitive
5. Suppose we have a function $F: A \rightarrow \rho(A)$ such that $F(a) = \{a\}$ for any $a \in A$
 - a. Is F necessarily one-to-one?
 - b. Is F necessarily onto?

Powersets:

- Definition:
 - o $\rho(A) \triangleq \{B \mid B \subseteq A\}$
- Example:
 - o Suppose $A = \{a, b, \{c, d\}\}$
 - o Then, the powerset $\rho(A) = \{\{\}, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{\{c, d\}\}, \{a, \{c, d\}\}, \{b, \{c, d\}\}, \{a, b, \{c, d\}\}\}$
- Common confusions:
 - o Elements *are* sometimes sets and sometimes *not* sets:
 - For A above, a is an element that isn't a set, but $\{c, d\}$ is an element that is a set
 - o If a set has an element which is itself a set, then the elements of the latter set are not necessarily elements of the former
 - Consider $A = \{a, b, \{c, d\}\}$. $\{c, d\}$ is an element of A . But c is not an element of A . Instead, c is an *element of an element* of A .
 - o If a set B is an element of A , then that set is *not* in the power set, but the *set containing that set is*
 - E.g. $\{c, d\}$ is not in the power set because it is not a subset of elements from A , but $\{\{c, d\}\}$ is in the power set because it is a subset of elements from A , namely, the subset containing the element $\{c, d\}$

Solutions:

1. $A - B \subseteq (A \cup C) - B$ (**considering some arbitrary element, conditional proof**)
 - a. Consider some arbitrary element a (**note: considering some arbitrary element**)
 - b. Suppose $a \in A - B$ (**note: conditional proof**)
 - i. Then, by the definition of complement, $a \in A$ and $a \notin B$ (**note: this shows that you know the definition explicitly**)
 - ii. Now, $a \in (A \cup C) - B$ just in case $a \in (A \cup C)$ and $a \notin B$
 - iii. By the definition of union, $a \in (A \cup C)$ just in case $a \in A$ or $a \in C$ (or both)
 - iv. $a \in (A \cup C)$, since $a \in A$
 - v. And since, as mentioned earlier, $a \notin B$, it follows that $a \in (A \cup C) - B$.

- c. Hence, every member of $A - B$ is a member of $(A \cup C) - B$, so $A - B \subseteq (A \cup C) - B$
2. $A \cup B \subseteq (A \cup C) \cup (B \cup C)$ (proof by cases)
- Consider some arbitrary element $a \in A \cup B$
 - Then, by definition, either $a \in A$ or $a \in B$ (note: proof by cases)
 - Suppose $a \in A$
 - Now, $a \in (A \cup C)$ because $a \in A$
 - Therefore, $a \in (A \cup C) \cup (B \cup C)$ because $a \in (A \cup C)$
 - Suppose $a \in B$
 - Now, $a \in (B \cup C)$ because $a \in B$
 - Therefore, $a \in (A \cup C) \cup (B \cup C)$ because $a \in (B \cup C)$
 - In both cases, $a \in (A \cup C) \cup (B \cup C)$ and so $A \cup B \subseteq (A \cup C) \cup (B \cup C)$
3. $A \times B \subseteq A \times (B \cup C)$
- Consider some arbitrary member $\langle a, b \rangle \in A \times B$
 - Then, by definition of the cross-product, $a \in A$ and $b \in B$
 - By the same definition, $\langle a, b \rangle \in A \times (B \cup C)$ just in case $a \in A$ and $b \in (B \cup C)$
 - We already know that $a \in A$, and we also know that $b \in (B \cup C)$ since $b \in B$
 - Therefore, $\langle a, b \rangle \in A \times (B \cup C)$ and so every member of $A \times B$ is a member of $A \times (B \cup C)$ —that is, $A \times B \subseteq A \times (B \cup C)$
4. Relations:
- Question:
 - Suppose we have three elements in a set $A = \{a, b, c\}$, and a relation R defined on this set
 - Suppose R is symmetric and that $\langle a, b \rangle, \langle b, c \rangle, \langle c, a \rangle \in R$ —that is, Rab, Rbc, Rca
 - Prove that R defined on this set is transitive
 - Proof:
 - Since R is symmetric, by definition, if Rxy , then Ryx for any elements x, y
 - Since Rca , and since R is symmetric, it follows that Rac
 - Now, by definition, R is transitive just in case whenever Rxy , and Ryz , then Rxz for any elements x, y, z
 - Now Rab and Rbc , and, as just proved, Rac
 - Since this satisfies transitivity, and since no other relations violate transitivity, we can conclude that R is transitive
5. Suppose we have a function $F: A \rightarrow \rho(A)$ such that $F(a) = \{a\}$ for any $a \in A$
- Is F necessarily one to one?
 - By definition, F would be one-to-one just in case every element in A is mapped to a **distinct** element in $\rho(A)$ (so every element of A has to have a mapping, and the mapping can't map two elements of A to the same thing)
 - Now, F is necessarily one-to-one since every element is mapped to one distinct thing—the set containing itself
 - Is F necessarily onto?
 - By definition, F is onto just in case every element in $\rho(A)$ is mapped to by F
 - However, it is not necessarily onto, since we can construct a counter example:
 - Suppose $A = \{a, b\}$
 - Then, $\{a, b\} \in \rho(A)$ (since $\{a, b\}$ is a subset of $A = \{a, b\}$ in the sense that every element in $\{a, b\}$ is also in A)
 - But $\{a, b\}$ is not mapped to, since “ a, b ” is not an element in the set A (even though a and b are *separately* elements that are mapped to $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$ respectively)