

**Phil 74A/Humbio 174A/Ethicsoc 174:**  
**Ethics in a Human Life**  
**Section 2 Handout**  
*John Wilcox*  
*Department of Philosophy & Department of Psychology*  
*Stanford University*

Section outline:

1. In pairs:
  - a. How did you find this week's content?
  - b. Did you have any questions or confusions about the lecture content?
2. In the main room:
  - a. What would you like to get out of today's section, if anything?
3. Purpose of these sections:
  - a. Help you do well on assignments
  - b. Develop virtues of conceptual clarity and argumentative rigor
4. Historical aside
5. Feedback on homework assignments:
  - a. Everyone has passed so far
  - b. My questions are in the neighborhood of criticisms which could affect essay grades
  - c. Problems:
    - i. More objection checking
    - ii. Clarity and structure
6. In break out rooms:
  - a. Discuss EITHER one of the following:
    - i. Mill claims "actions are right in proportion as they tend to promote happiness, wrong as they tend to produce the reverse of happiness". Explain why you do or do not agree with this claim.
    - ii. Benatar claims "it is better never to come into existence". Explain why you do or do not agree with this claim.
  - b. Report back afterwards